

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CRIM. NO.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING  
FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS**

In accordance with the operative COVID-19 standing orders, this Court finds:

\_\_\_\_\_ That the Defendant (or the Juvenile) has consented to the use of video  
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation  
with counsel; and

\_\_\_\_\_ That the proceeding(s) to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm  
to the interests of justice, for the following specific reasons:

Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on this date may be conducted by:

\_\_\_\_\_ Video Teleconferencing

\_\_\_\_\_ Teleconferencing, because video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the  
following reason:

\_\_\_\_\_ The Defendant (or the Juvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video  
teleconferencing capability.

\_\_\_\_\_ Other:

Date:



United States District Judge

**ATTACHMENT**

The Court finds that the plea agreement hearing to be held on December 19, 2022, cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

1. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through a timely plea hearing.
2. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to be resolved today through a timely change of plea hearing.
3. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters now by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to function effectively. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity.